industrial land situated in Kirti Nagar Industrial Area, Delhi should have been given to the Delhi Development Authority (DDA) in 1996;

(b) if so, the action taken by the company so far;

(c) whether it is a fact that the employees of one of its Unit have illegally occupied 1 $^{1}/_{2}$ acres of land at Sabji Mandi, Ghantaghar, Delhi; and

(d) if so, Government's initiative in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (DR. AKHILESH PRASAD SINGH): (a) and (b) No, Sir. The Supreme Court of India in its order passed in the case of M.C. Mehta vs Union of India & others, categorized the Delhi Vanaspati Unit of Hindustan Vegetable Oils Corporation Limited as a 'H' category & has ordered that it should be closed down from 30.11.1996. As per the said order, the Company has to hand over part of the land to Delhi Development Authority. The exact size/measurement of the land to be handed over is subjudice in the apex Court.

(c) and (d) No Sir. One ex employee has continued to occupy the Company quarter of the Sabji Mandi Unit of the Hindustan Vegetable Oils Corporation Limited which was allotted to him during his service. As such, his final dues have not been settled. The Company has been directed to take legal action for vacation of the Company premises

Evaluation of TPDS

529. SHRI RAJKUMAR DHOOT: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a study was conducted on the evaluation of Targeted Public Distribution System and Antyodaya Anna Yojana and a draft report was circulated to the State Governments for their comments;

(b) if so, the main finding of the study;

(c) the comments of the State Governments thereon;

(d) whether a final report nas been prepared;

(e) if so, the details thereof; and

(f) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION (DR. AKHILESH PRASAD SINGH): (a) An evaluation study on Targetted Public Distribution System (TPDS) and AAY was conducted through ORG Centre for Sociai Research, New Delhi. The Draft Study Report received from ORG was forwarded to the State Governments and UTs for comments.

(b) The main findings of the draft report which varies from State to State was on improper identification of families under BPL and AAY, actual scale of issue vis a vis quantity of foodgrains issued to the consumers, degree of diversion of foodgrains, consumer satisfaction level awareness about identification process, quality of foodgrains distributed at FPSs etc.

(c) The comments received from the State Governments are regarding the sample size taken for the study, period of survey questionnaire used, incorrect datas etc. The comments received from the State Governments were forwarded to the ORG Centre for Social Research for necessary modification in the final report.

(d) No Sir.

(e) Does not arise.

(f) The draft report submitted by ORG contained a number of deficiencies. They have been asked to rectify them and submit the report after modifications.

Stopping foodgrain distribution through PDS

530. SHRI A. VIJAYARAGHAVAN: Will the Minister of CONSUMER AFFAIRS, FOOD AND PUBLIC DISTRIBUTION be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to stop foodgrain distribution through the present centres of public distribution (ration shops);

(b) if so, the reasons therefor including the alternative system suggested for public distribution and status of the present ration shops;

(c) whether there is any proposal to increase prices of foodgrains being distributed to persons below poverty line;